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- What is the role of the components of SHA-256?
- How do they contribute to the security of the function?
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## Description of SHA-256

Iterated hash function using a compression function

$$
f:\{0,1\}^{512} \times\{0,1\}^{256} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{256}
$$



## SHA-256 compression function
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## Message expansion of SHA-256

$$
W_{i}= \begin{cases}M_{i} & \text { for } 0 \leq i<16 \\ \sigma_{1}\left(W_{i-2}\right)+W_{i-7}+\sigma_{0}\left(W_{i-15}\right)+W_{i-16} & \text { for } 16 \leq i<64\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{0}(x)=R O T R^{2}(x) \oplus R O T R^{18}(x) \oplus S H R^{3}(x) \\
& \sigma_{1}(x)=\operatorname{ROT}^{17}(x) \oplus \operatorname{ROT}^{19}(x) \oplus S H R^{10}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Step transformation of SHA-256
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{0}(x) & =\operatorname{ROT}^{2}(x) \oplus R O T R^{13}(x) \oplus \operatorname{ROT}^{22}(x) \\
\Sigma_{1}(x) & =\operatorname{ROTR}^{6}(x) \oplus \operatorname{ROTR}^{11}(x) \oplus \operatorname{ROTR}^{25}(x) \\
M a j(A, B, C) & =(A \wedge B) \vee(A \wedge C) \vee(B \wedge C) \\
C h(E, F, G) & =(E \wedge F) \vee(\neg E \wedge G)
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Linearized variant of SHA-256

SHA-256 contains three types of functions:

- $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ - linear: $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \Sigma_{0}, \Sigma_{1}$
- $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{32}}$ - linear: addition modulo $2^{32}$ : +
- nonlinear in respect of both structures: bitwise Boolean functions

Simplified variant 1:

- replace $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \Sigma_{0}, \Sigma_{1}$ with $i d$,

$$
\sigma_{0}(x)=\sigma_{1}(x)=\Sigma_{0}(x)=\Sigma_{1}(x)=x
$$

- replace Boolean functions with addition:

$$
\operatorname{Maj}(x, y, z)=C h(x, y, z)=x+y+z
$$

We get fully $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{32}}$-linear function.
Is it possible to use disturbance-corrections strategy to find collisions for this model?

Correcting single disturbance: steps 1 - 2
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Correcting single disturbance: steps 5 - 6


$$
\Delta-\Delta-\Delta
$$
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## Single corrective pattern

Disturbance in $i$-th word $\Delta_{i}$ is corrected by the following sequence


## Conditions for a disturbance vector

We treat expanded messages as vectors $W \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{32}}^{64}$
A difference $\Delta=W^{\prime}-W$ is a valid disturbance pattern if two conditions are satisfied:

C1. the last 8 words of $\Delta$ are zero,
C2. $\Delta$ with prepended 8 zero block must also be the result of the expansion process.

C1 is necessary to allow enough time to correct the last difference as 8 steps are needed to correct each disturbance.

C2 is necessary for constructing a corrective pattern as a linear combination of $\Delta$ and "delayed" disturbance vectors.

## More about condition C2

For disturbance pattern

$$
\Delta=\left[\Delta_{0}, \ldots, \Delta_{63}\right]^{T}
$$

the full corrective pattern is computed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
C=\Delta & -4 \cdot\left[0, \Delta_{0}, \ldots, \Delta_{62}\right]^{T} \\
& +2 \cdot\left[0,0, \Delta_{0}, \ldots, \Delta_{61}\right]^{T} \\
& +2 \cdot\left[0,0,0, \Delta_{0}, \ldots, \Delta_{60}\right]^{T} \\
& +\ldots \\
& -1 \cdot\left[0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0, \Delta_{0}, \ldots, \Delta_{55}\right]^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

"Delayed" pattern $\left[0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0, \Delta_{0}, \ldots, \Delta_{55}\right]^{T}$ has to be the result of the expansion.

## Message expansion as a linear transform

Message expansion with $\sigma_{0}=\sigma_{1}=i d$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{32}}$-linear, so it can be represented as $64 \times 16$ matrix

$$
E=\left[\begin{array}{c}
I_{16} \\
A \\
A^{2} \\
A^{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $A$ is a linear transform producing 16 new words out of 16 old ones according to the recurrence relation.

Then we have

$$
W=E \cdot M
$$

where $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{32}}^{16}$ is the initial message and $W \in \mathbb{Z}_{232}^{64}$ is the expanded message.

## Finding disturbance patterns

We are looking for such message differences $\Delta_{M}=M^{\prime}-M$ that expanded differences $\Delta=E\left(\Delta_{M}\right)$ satisfy conditions C1 and C2.

This can be written as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
0=A^{3}[8:: 16] \cdot \Delta_{M} & \text { the last } 8 \text { elements of } \Delta \text { are zero } \\
0=A^{-1}[8:: 16] \cdot \Delta_{M} & 8 \text { prepended elements of } \Delta \text { would be zero }
\end{array}
$$

where $M[a:: b]$ means a matrix consisting of rows of matrix $M$ from $a$-th row to $b$-th row, inclusive.

These two matrix equations form a linear system over the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{32}}$.

Finding disturbance patterns: solving the system

The system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=A^{3}[8:: 16] \cdot \Delta_{M} \\
& 0=A^{-1}[8:: 16] \cdot \Delta_{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

has one-dimensional solution space given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{M}= & {[0 x 10000000,0 x A 0000000,0 x C 0000000,0 x A 0000000,} \\
& 0 x E 0000000,0 x 20000000,0 x 40000000,0 x 40000000, \\
& 0 x 80000000,0 x D 0000000,0 x 10000000,0 x 60000000, \\
& 0 x 500000000,0 x 40000000,0 x 70000000,0 x 30000000]^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Any nonzero multiple of this vector constitutes a valid disturbance pattern for linearized version of SHA-256 - we can use it to find collisions.

UNIVERSITY~SYDNEY

- Motivation: How secure is SHA-256?
- Description of SHA-256
- Collisions for a linear variant
- Collisions for a linear variant with Boolean functions
- About S-Boxes
- Conclusions and open problems


## The next step: Incorporating Boolean functions

Let us consider a variant still without $\operatorname{S}$-boxes $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \Sigma_{0}, \Sigma_{1}$ but with Boolean functions Maj and $C h$.

If we multiply the pattern by 8, we get a valid disturbance pattern with 1 's in the most significant bits only.

10000000011010111011100110100110
00000111001011111011100000000000

There are only 27 nonzero bits in this pattern.
We can approximate Boolean functions with certain probabilities.

| $\left(\delta_{x}, \delta_{y}, \delta_{z}\right)$ | illustration | $\left(\delta_{x}, \delta_{y}, \delta_{z}\right)$ | illustration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0,0,0)$ |  | $(1,1,0)$ |  |
| $(1,0,0)$ |  | $(1,0,1)$ |  |
| $(0,1,0)$ |  | $(0,1,1)$ |  |
| $(0,0,1)$ |  | $(1,1,1)$ |  |

## Approximation of Boolean functions

We can approximate both Boolean functions with probability at least $1 / 2$ by a "function" that produces output difference each time input difference is nonzero.

| input difference | $C h$ function |  | $M a j$ function |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\delta_{x}, \delta_{y}, \delta_{z}\right)$ | conditions | Prob | conditions | Prob |
| $(1,0,0)$ | $y+z=1$ | $1 / 2$ | $y+z=1$ | $1 / 2$ |
| $(0,1,0)$ | $x=1$ | $1 / 2$ | $x+z=1$ | $1 / 2$ |
| $(0,0,1)$ | $x=0$ | $1 / 2$ | $x+y=1$ | $1 / 2$ |
| $(1,1,0)$ | $x+y+z=0$ | $1 / 2$ | $x+y=0$ | $1 / 2$ |
| $(1,0,1)$ | $x+y=0$ | $1 / 2$ | $x+z=0$ | $1 / 2$ |
| $(0,1,1)$ | - | 1 | $y+z=0$ | $1 / 2$ |
| $(1,1,1)$ | $y+z=0$ | $1 / 2$ | - | 1 |

## Corrective pattern for this variant

For the variant with Boolean functions approximated by „always output difference" and disturbance pattern with nonzero bits in only the most significant position, single corrective sequence has the following form

$$
\Delta_{i}, 0,0, \Delta_{i}, \Delta_{i}, 0,0,0, \Delta_{i}
$$

After obtaining the full corrective pattern we can estimate the probability of a successful correction.

## Probabilities of successful corrections in each step
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| $s$ | Maj | Ch | $e$ | $s$ | Maj | Ch | $e$ | $s$ | Maj | Ch | $e$ | $s$ | Maj | Ch | $e$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 000 | 000 | 0 | 16 | 110 | 010 | 2 | 32 | 011 | 100 | 2 | 48 | 111 | 110 | 1 |
| 1 | 100 | 100 | 2 | 17 | 111 | 101 | 1 | 33 | 001 | 010 | 2 | 49 | 111 | 011 | 0 |
| 2 | 010 | 010 | 2 | 18 | 011 | 010 | 2 | 34 | 000 | 001 | 1 | 50 | 011 | 101 | 2 |
| 3 | 001 | 101 | 2 | 19 | 101 | 001 | 2 | 35 | 000 | 100 | 1 | 51 | 101 | 010 | 2 |
| 4 | 000 | 110 | 1 | 20 | 110 | 100 | 2 | 36 | 000 | 010 | 1 | 52 | 110 | 101 | 2 |
| 5 | 000 | 111 | 1 | 21 | 111 | 110 | 1 | 37 | 000 | 001 | 1 | 53 | 111 | 110 | 1 |
| 6 | 000 | 011 | 0 | 22 | 011 | 011 | 1 | 38 | 100 | 100 | 2 | 54 | 011 | 011 | 1 |
| 7 | 000 | 001 | 1 | 23 | 001 | 101 | 2 | 39 | 110 | 110 | 2 | 55 | 001 | 101 | 2 |
| 8 | 000 | 000 | 0 | 24 | 100 | 110 | 2 | 40 | 111 | 011 | 0 | 56 | 000 | 010 | 1 |
| 9 | 000 | 000 | 0 | 25 | 110 | 011 | 1 | 41 | 011 | 001 | 2 | 57 | 000 | 101 | 1 |
| 10 | 100 | 100 | 2 | 26 | 011 | 101 | 2 | 42 | 001 | 100 | 2 | 58 | 000 | 010 | 1 |
| 11 | 110 | 110 | 2 | 27 | 101 | 110 | 2 | 43 | 100 | 110 | 2 | 59 | 000 | 001 | 1 |
| 12 | 011 | 111 | 2 | 28 | 010 | 011 | 1 | 44 | 010 | 111 | 2 | 60 | 000 | 000 | 0 |
| 13 | 101 | 111 | 2 | 29 | 001 | 001 | 2 | 45 | 101 | 011 | 1 | 61 | 000 | 000 | 0 |
| 14 | 010 | 011 | 1 | 30 | 100 | 000 | 1 | 46 | 110 | 001 | 2 | 62 | 000 | 000 | 0 |
| 15 | 101 | 101 | 2 | 31 | 110 | 000 | 1 | 47 | 111 | 100 | 1 | 63 | 000 | 000 | 0 |

## Overall probability of a collision

Straightforward result

$$
\begin{gathered}
e=\prod_{i=0}^{63} e_{i}=84 \\
\operatorname{Prob}[\text { collision }]=2^{-e}=\mathbf{2}^{-\mathbf{8 4}}
\end{gathered}
$$

We can do better. By appropriate selection of message words in 16 first steps we can eliminate probabilistic behaviour in these steps and get better probability

$$
\begin{gathered}
e^{\prime}=\prod_{i=16}^{63} e_{i}=64 \\
\operatorname{Prob}[\text { collision }]=2^{-e^{\prime}}=\mathbf{2}^{-\mathbf{6 4}}
\end{gathered}
$$
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## The role of S-Boxes : the full SHA-256 structure

- S-Boxes provide diffusion of differences
- one bit input difference gives 2-3 bit output difference
- still possible to use modular differentials

$$
\operatorname{Prob}[\Sigma(x+\delta)-\Sigma(x)=\Sigma(\delta)]=2^{-3}
$$

for one-bit input differences $\delta$,

- we also need another difference

$$
\operatorname{Prob}[\Sigma(x+\gamma)-\Sigma(x)=\Sigma(\gamma)] \approx 2^{-9}
$$

for input difference $\gamma=\Sigma(\delta)$,

- using these differentials, single correction sequence for full round structure has a probability of $2^{-42}$
- Hawkes, Paddon, Rose using some additional optimizations achieved $2^{-39}$
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## Conclusions and open problems

- it is possible to use disturbance-corrections strategy for SHA-256-like architecture
- mixing provided by modular additions and Boolean functions alone is not sufficient for building a secure hash function
- S-Boxes are vital for the security of SHA-256
- can we force the full message expansion process to produce differences that follow disturbance-corrections patterns?
- are there any other high probability differentials for SHA-256?

The End

## Thank you!

Lemma [Hawkes, Paddon, Rose]
Let $\lambda=\Delta X=X^{\prime} \oplus X$ and $\delta X=X^{\prime}-X$. Having $\Delta X$ we can determine $\delta X$ if we know all $X[i]$ for all $i<31$ such that $\lambda[i]=1$

