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## Talk overview

- Cryptographic hash functions: basic notions
- Descriptions of SHA-0 and SHA-1
- Differential attack of Chabaud and Joux on SHA-0
- Finding patterns for attacks on variants of SHA-1
- Experimental results
- Some bounds on weights of short patterns


## Cryptographic hash functions

Hash function - a function that maps binary strings of arbitrary length to strings of fixed length,

$$
h:\{0,1\}^{*} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{n} .
$$

Cryptographic hash function - hash function with additional properties:

- fast to compute
- preimage resistant
- second preimage resistant
- collision resistant


## Properties of cryptographic hash functions

Preimage resistant : Given an output $Y$ of the hash function it is difficult to find any preimage - an input $X$ such that $h(X)=Y$.

Second preimage resistant : Given a fixed input $X$ to the hash function and corresponding output $h(X)$ it is difficult to find a second preimage - another input $X^{\prime}, X^{\prime} \neq X$ such that $h(X)=h\left(X^{\prime}\right)$.

Collision resistant : It is hard to find any pair of distinct messages $\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), X \neq X^{\prime}$ such that $h(X)=h\left(X^{\prime}\right)$.

Attack on a hash function: finding a preimage or a collision.

## Iterative hash functions from compression functions

Compression function - function that maps longer inputs to shorter outputs $f:\{0,1\}^{n+k} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{k}$.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{0} \leftarrow I V \\
& h_{i} \leftarrow f\left(M_{i-1} \| h_{i-1}\right) \\
& \\
& i=1, \ldots, d \\
& h(M):= \\
& h_{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

If the compression function $f$ is secure (one-way and collision-resistant) then the iterative hash function $h$ is also secure.

The structure of SHA : compression function

$\left(A_{0}, B_{0}, C_{0}, D_{0}, E_{0}\right) \leftarrow I V$.
80 steps of the form
$\left(A_{i}, B_{i}, C_{i}, D_{i}, E_{i}\right)$
$\downarrow$
$\left(A_{i+1}, B_{i+1}, C_{i+1}, D_{i+1}, E_{i+1}\right)$

Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(M, I V)= & A_{0} \boxplus A_{80}\left\|B_{0} \boxplus B_{80}\right\| \\
& C_{0} \boxplus C_{80}\left\|D_{0} \boxplus D_{80}\right\| \\
& E_{0} \boxplus E_{80}
\end{aligned}
$$

## The structure of SHA : step transformation

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{i+1}= & E_{i} \boxplus R O L^{5}\left(A_{i}\right) \boxplus f_{i}\left(B_{i}, C_{i}, D_{i}\right) \boxplus \\
& W_{i} \boxplus K_{i}, \\
B_{i+1}= & A_{i}, \\
C_{i+1}= & R O L^{30}\left(B_{i}\right), \\
D_{i+1}= & C_{i}, \\
E_{i+1}= & D_{i}, \quad i=0, \ldots, 79
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
f_{i}(B, C, D)=
$$

$$
\begin{cases}B C \vee(\neg B) D & \text { for } 0 \leq i \leq 19 \\ B \oplus C \oplus D & \text { for } 20 \leq i \leq 39 \\ B C \vee B D \vee C D & \text { for } 40 \leq i \leq 59 \\ B \oplus C \oplus D & \text { for } 60 \leq i \leq 79\end{cases}
$$

## The structure of SHA : message expansion process

For SHA-0:

$$
W_{i}= \begin{cases}M_{i} & \text { for } 0 \leq i \leq 15 \\ W_{i-3} \oplus W_{i-8} \oplus W_{i-14} \oplus W_{i-16} & \text { for } 16 \leq i \leq 79\end{cases}
$$

For SHA-1:

$$
W_{i}= \begin{cases}M_{i} & \text { for } 0 \leq i \leq 15 \\ R O L^{1}\left(W_{i-3} \oplus W_{i-8} \oplus W_{i-14} \oplus W_{i-16}\right) & \text { for } 16 \leq i \leq 79\end{cases}
$$

- Note that the operation is linear in respect of $\oplus$ operation, so $E_{1}\left(M \oplus M^{\prime}\right)=E_{1}(M) \oplus E_{1}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$.
- SHA-1 differs from SHA-0 only by the rotation in the message expansion.



## Differential attack on hash functions

Differential attacks are used for finding collisions.
Idea: Find a difference $\Delta$ such that

$$
h(M)=h(M \oplus \Delta)
$$

and we know:

- how to construct $M$,
or
- that we can find a suitable $M$ among random messages with probability higher than $2^{- \text {hash length/2 }}$ (faster than generic birthday attack)


## Differential attack : disturbance - corrections
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Denote $Z_{i}=E_{i} \boxplus R O L^{5}\left(A_{i}\right) \boxplus f_{i}\left(B_{i}, C_{i}, D_{i}\right) \boxplus K_{i}$.

| $Z_{i}$ (other) : | $\ldots$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $W_{i}$ (mesg) : | $\ldots$ | 1 | 1 | $0 \rightarrow 1$ | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $A_{i+1}$ (sum): | $\ldots$ | 0 | 1 | $0 \rightarrow 1$ | 1 | 1 | 0 |

## Differential attack : probability of success (1) : addition

Disturbance - corrections strategy works if all additions and Boolean functions $f_{i}$ behave like linear operations in respect of $\oplus$.

This is true for addition when flip of the bit in the message does not generate carries.

Denote $Z_{i}=E_{i} \boxplus R O L^{5}\left(A_{i}\right) \boxplus f_{i}\left(B_{i}, C_{i}, D_{i}\right) \boxplus K_{i}$.

| $Z_{i}$ (other) : | $\ldots$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $W_{i}$ (mesg) : | $\ldots$ | 1 | 1 | $0 \rightarrow 1$ | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $A_{i+1}$ (sum): | $\ldots$ | 0 | 1 | $0 \rightarrow 1$ | 1 | 1 | 0 |

Every bit (except for the most significant ones) adds a factor $1 / 2$.

## Differential attack : probability of success (2) : Boolean functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } \delta f=f(x, y, z) \oplus f\left(x \oplus \delta_{x}, y \oplus \delta_{y}, x \oplus \delta_{z}\right), \\
& f_{i f}(x, y, z)=x y \vee(\neg x) z=x y \oplus x z \oplus z \\
& f_{m a j}(x, y, z)=x y \oplus x z \oplus y z
\end{aligned}
$$

| differences |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | conditions to behave like XOR, i.e. $\delta f=\delta f_{x o r}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\delta_{x}$ | $\delta_{y}$ | $\delta_{z}$ | $\delta f_{\text {xor }}$ | $f_{i f}$ | Prob | $f_{\text {maj }}$ | Prob. |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $y \oplus z=1$ | $1 / 2$ | $y \oplus z=1$ | $1 / 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $x=1$ | $1 / 2$ | $x \oplus z=1$ | $1 / 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $x=0$ | $1 / 2$ | $x \oplus y=1$ | $1 / 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $x \oplus y \oplus z=1$ | $1 / 2$ | $x \oplus y=1$ | $1 / 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $x \oplus y \oplus z=1$ | $1 / 2$ | $x \oplus z=1$ | $1 / 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | never | 0 | $y \oplus z=1$ | $1 / 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $y \oplus z=1$ | $1 / 2$ | always | 1 |  |  |  |  |

## Differential attack : probability of success (2) : Boolean functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } \delta f=f(x, y, z) \oplus f\left(x \oplus \delta_{x}, y \oplus \delta_{y}, x \oplus \delta_{z}\right), \\
& f_{i f}(x, y, z)=x y \vee(\neg x) z=x y \oplus x z \oplus z \\
& f_{m a j}(x, y, z)=x y \oplus x z \oplus y z
\end{aligned}
$$

| differences |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | conditions to behave like XOR, i.e. $\delta f=\delta f_{\text {xor }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\delta_{x}$ | $\delta_{y}$ | $\delta_{z}$ | $\delta f_{\text {xor }}$ | $f_{i f}$ | Prob | $f_{\text {maj }}$ | Prob. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $y \oplus z=1$ | $1 / 2$ | $y \oplus z=1$ | $1 / 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $x=1$ | $1 / 2$ | $x \oplus z=1$ | $1 / 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $x=0$ | $1 / 2$ | $x \oplus y=1$ | $1 / 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $x \oplus y \oplus z=1$ | $1 / 2$ | $x \oplus y=1$ | $1 / 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $x \oplus y \oplus z=1$ | $1 / 2$ | $x \oplus z=1$ | $1 / 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | never | 0 | $y \oplus z=1$ | $1 / 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $y \oplus z=1$ | $1 / 2$ | always | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

Every Boolean function different from XOR adds a factor $1 / 2$ and we cannot have two adjacent changes in first 16 steps

## Differential attack : from disturbance pattern to full differential



Let $d$ denotes the pattern of disturbance bits. Then the complete differential pattern can be obtained as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta=d \oplus & \operatorname{Delay}^{1}\left(R O L^{6}(d)\right) \oplus \\
& \operatorname{Delay}^{2}(d) \oplus \\
& \operatorname{Delay}^{3}\left(R O L^{30}(d)\right) \oplus \\
& \operatorname{Delay}^{4}\left(R O L^{30}(d)\right) \oplus \\
& \operatorname{Delay}^{5}\left(R O L^{30}(d)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where Delay ${ }^{k}(W)$ means inserting $k$ zero words before $W$ and discarding the last $k$ words of $W$.
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In order to construct difference pattern $\Delta$ (disturbance + corrections) from a disturbance pattern $d, d$ has to satisfy the following conditions:
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In order to construct difference pattern $\Delta$ (disturbance + corrections) from a disturbance pattern $d, d$ has to satisfy the following conditions:

- $d$ has to be the result of the expansion operation,
- $d$ has to end with five zero words (because each disturbance is corrected in the next 5 steps, so no disturbance may occur after the word 74),
- after delaying $d$ by up to 5 words the delayed patterns Delay ${ }^{1}(d), \ldots$, Delay $^{5}(d)$ must also be the result of the expansion of theirs first 16 words,
- $d$ has both the minimal Hamming weight and the maximal number of non-zero bits in position 1.
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The search for disturbance patterns for SHA-0: (1)

$$
W_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
M_{i} \quad \text { for } 0 \leq i \leq 15 \\
W_{i-3} \oplus W_{i-8} \oplus W_{i-14} \oplus W_{i-16} \text { for } \quad 16 \leq i \leq 79
\end{array}\right.
$$

In SHA-0, bits in different positions are independent!

- changing bits in position $k$ in the message words $M_{j}$ will affect only bits in position $k$ in expanded message,
- message expansion process can be seen as 32 independent copies of the expansion of 16 bits to 80 bits using the relation

$$
w_{i}=w_{i-3} \oplus w_{i-8} \oplus w_{i-14} \oplus w_{i-16} \quad 16 \leq i \leq 79
$$

where $w_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$.
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## The search for disturbance patterns for SHA-0: (2)

- there are $2^{16}$ candidates for disturbance patterns,
- there are $2^{11}$ patterns such that $\operatorname{Delay}^{5}(d)$ is the result of expansion,
- there are $2^{6}$ patterns such that Delay ${ }^{5}(d)$ is the result of expansion and pattern ends with five zero bits (63 usable patterns, excluding all-zero) Minimal weight is 27.
- there are only 5 disturbance patterns such that there are no adjacent ' 1 ' bits in first 16 bits

00010000000100100000001000011011011111101101001000010101001010100010111001100000 00100010000000101111011000111000000101000100010010010011101100110000111110000000 01000010100100011110010110000011100000000000110000001101100000011000101101100000 00101001010000011111001111001100011111110110111100001100010101011101001010000000 00010100101000001111100111100110001111111011011110000110001010101110100101000000 with weights: 30, 30, 27, 39, 39.

## Pattern for differential attack on SHA-0



## Pattern for differential attack on SHA-0



## What about SHA-1 ?

1. The only difference is in the message expansion algorithm, so the idea of disturbance - corrections works also for SHA-1 - the round structure is the same
2. how to find disturbance patterns that can give rise to corrective patterns?

## Properties of the message expansion in SHA-1

$$
W_{i}= \begin{cases}M_{i} & \text { for } 0 \leq i \leq 15 \\ R O L^{1}\left(W_{i-3} \oplus W_{i-8} \oplus W_{i-14} \oplus W_{i-16}\right) & \text { for } 16 \leq i \leq 79\end{cases}
$$

All operations are $\mathbb{F}_{2}$-linear, so we can describe the whole message expansion process as a linear function

$$
E_{1}: \mathbb{F}_{2}^{512} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2560}
$$

The function $A$ producing 16 new words $\left(W_{i+1}, \ldots, W_{i+16}\right)$ out of 16 old ones $\left(W_{i-15}, \ldots, W_{i}\right)$ using the recurrence formula is a linear bijection of space $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{512}$,

$$
A: \mathbb{F}_{2}^{512} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}^{512}
$$

Message expansion process: Relation between $A$ and $E_{1}$

If we consider a message as a bit vector $m \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{512}$, we can write

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
E_{1}(m) & =\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{I_{512}}{A} \\
\frac{A^{2}}{A^{3}} \\
A^{4}
\end{array}\right] \cdot m \\
\text { Denote } \quad L & =\left[\frac{\frac{I_{512}}{A}}{\frac{A^{2}}{A^{3}}}\right. \\
A^{4}
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { for later use. } \quad \text {. }
$$

Matrix $A$




Matrix $A^{4}$


## How to find disturbance patterns?

1. $d$ has to be the result of the expansion operation,

$$
d=E_{1}\left(\left[d_{0}, \ldots, d_{511}\right]^{T}\right)
$$

2. $d$ has to end with five zero words (because each disturbance is corrected in the next 5 steps, so no disturbance may occur after the word 74),

$$
d_{j}=0, \quad \text { for } j=2400, \ldots 2559
$$

3. after delaying $d$ by up to 5 words the delayed patterns Delay ${ }^{1}(d), \ldots$, Delay $^{5}(d)$ must also be the result of the expansion of theirs first 16 words,

$$
[\underbrace{0 \ldots 0}_{160 \text { bits }} d_{0} d_{1} \ldots d_{2399}]^{T}=E_{1}\left(\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & \ldots & d_{0} & \ldots & d_{351}
\end{array}\right]^{T}\right) .
$$

## How to find disturbance patterns? (2)

Conditions 1-3 imply that in fact we are looking for longer bit sequences of 85 words such that

- the first 5 words are zero,
- the next 11 words are chosen in such a way that the rest of the words is the result of the expansion of the first 16, and
- the last 5 words are zero again.

If we denote first 5 words with indices $-5,-4, \ldots,-1$ words $0, \ldots 79$ are the words of a disturbance pattern.

In matrix notation: we are looking for bit vectors $m \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{512}$ such that

- $A^{4} \cdot m$ has $5 \cdot 32=160$ trailing zero bits,
- $A^{-1} \cdot m$ has $5 \cdot 32=160$ trailing zero bits.

How to find disturbance patterns? (3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
* \\
\vdots \\
* \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{0,0} & \ldots & \ldots & \alpha_{0,511} \\
\vdots & & & \vdots \\
\alpha_{351,0} & & & \alpha_{351,511} \\
\alpha_{352,0} & \ldots & \ldots & \alpha_{352,511} \\
\vdots & & & \vdots \\
\alpha_{511,0} & \ldots & \ldots & \alpha_{511,511}
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
m_{0} \\
\vdots \\
m_{351} \\
m_{352} \\
\vdots \\
m_{511}
\end{array}\right]=A^{-1} \cdot m} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
* \\
\vdots \\
* \\
\hline 0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\hat{a}_{0,0} & \ldots & \ldots & \hat{a}_{0,511} \\
\vdots & & & \vdots \\
\hat{a}_{351,0} & & & \hat{a}_{351,511} \\
\hat{a}_{352,0} & \ldots & \ldots & \hat{a}_{352,511} \\
\vdots & & & \vdots \\
\hat{a}_{511,0} & \ldots & \ldots & \hat{a}_{511,511}
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
m_{0} \\
\vdots \\
m_{351} \\
m_{352} \\
\vdots \\
m_{511}
\end{array}\right]=A^{4} \cdot m}
\end{aligned}
$$

How to find disturbance patterns? (4)

We are looking for patterns $d \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{512}$ such that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{352,0} & \cdots & \cdots & \alpha_{352,511} \\
\vdots & & & \vdots \\
\alpha_{511,0} & \cdots & \cdots & \alpha_{511,511} \\
\hline \hat{a}_{352,0} & \cdots & \ldots & \hat{a}_{352,511} \\
\vdots & & & \vdots \\
\hat{a}_{511,0} & \cdots & \ldots & \hat{a}_{511,511}
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
m_{0} \\
\vdots \\
m_{351} \\
m_{352} \\
\vdots \\
m_{511}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Notation: $A[p:: q]$ - matrix created by taking rows of the matrix $A$ from $p$-th row to $q$-th row. Then the equation above can be written as

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=\Psi \cdot m \quad \text { where } \\
\Psi=\left[\frac{A^{-1}[352:: 511]}{A^{4}[352:: 511]}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

## How to find disturbance patterns? (5)

Thus, all disturbance patterns $d \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2560}$ we are looking for are created as expansions of bit vectors $m \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{512}$ from the linear subspace
$\operatorname{ker} \Psi$.
Experimentally we have found that

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \Psi=192
$$

This shows that the set of all disturbance patterns for disturbance corrections technique constitutes a linear code $\mathcal{C}$ of length 2560 and dimension 192.

## Disturbance patterns for reduced variants of SHA-1

If we want to look for patterns suitable for SHA-1 reduced to only $s$ steps, we need to take a different matrix $\Psi$ :

$$
\Psi_{s}=\left[\frac{A^{-1}[352:: 511]}{L[32(s-4):: 32 s+31]}\right]
$$

where $L$ is the matrix of the full expansion process $E_{1}$.

## How to find good patterns?

Finding the best pattern is equivalent to finding the minimal weight codeword in $\mathcal{C}$.

This problem is NP-hard in general .....
However, it looks like this code is quite particular and we were able to achieve good results.

Algorithm: modification of [J.S. Leon, F. Chabaud]

- permute the columns of the generating matrix randomly
- apply a gaussian elimination on the rows of the matrix to get

$$
G=(I \mid Z)
$$

- search for combinations of up to $p$ rows of $Z$ that lead to codewords with small weight

| steps | $w t$ | $w t_{20+}$ | steps | $w t$ | $w t_{20+}$ | steps | $w t$ | $w t_{20+}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | 9 | 2 | 50 | 35 | 14 | 68 | $>122$ | $>78$ |
| 33 | 9 | 2 | 51 | 35 | 15 | 69 | $>127$ | $>81$ |
| 34 | 9 | 2 | 52 | 35 | 16 | 70 | $>142$ | $>80$ |
| 35 | 28 | 4 | 53 | 35 | 16 | 71 | $>157$ | $>94$ |
| 36 | 24 | 5 | 54 | 78 | 36 | 72 | $>163$ | $>93$ |
| 37 | 25 | 5 | 55 | 80 | $39^{*}$ | 73 | $>139$ | $>111$ |
| 38 | 30 | 8 | 56 | 79 | 41 | 74 | $>139$ | $>98$ |
| 39 | 39 | $8^{*}$ | 57 | 72 | 42 | 75 | $>142$ | $>90$ |
| 40 | 41 | 11 | 58 | 73 | 42 | 76 | $>187$ | $>111$ |
| 41 | 41 | 12 | 59 | 91 | 51 | 77 | $>184$ | $>108$ |
| 42 | 41 | 13 | 60 | 66 | 44 | 78 | $>198$ | $>115$ |
| 43 | 41 | 17 | 61 | 66 | 44 | 79 | $>173$ | $>115$ |
| 44 | 50 | 15 | 62 | 66 | 45 | 80 | $>172$ | $>106$ |
| 45 | 45 | 15 | 63 | 107 | 64 | 81 | $>255$ | $>117$ |
| 46 | 56 | 23 | 64 | $>101$ | $>60$ | 82 | $>242$ | $>142$ |
| 47 | 56 | $24^{*}$ | 65 | $>113$ | $>66$ | 83 | $>215$ | $>163$ |
| 48 | 35 | 14 | 66 | $>98$ | $>58$ | 84 | $>161$ | $>101$ |
| 49 | 35 | 14 | 67 | $>127$ | $>69$ | 85 | $>340$ | $>177$ |

Results: best Hamming weights for different lengths
H.wt. of full codewords
ignoring first 20 steps


## A few examples of patterns
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## Minimum weight unrestricted pattern

Minimum weight of the expanded message we could find: 44.


- found in the following way: change one bit in word 44 and expand the segment $44-60$ backward-forward
- independently found as a candidate for the minimal weight codeword in unrestricted code


## Bounds on the weight of short patterns

To estimate weight of a differential pattern we can divide it into two groups:

- $S_{1}$ - set of bits in the same position as the last nonzero bit
- $S_{2}$ - set of bits in other positions (right from the initial position)
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## Bounds on the weight of short patterns

To estimate weight of a differential pattern we can divide it into two groups:

- $S_{1}$ - set of bits in the same position as the last nonzero bit
- $S_{2}$ - set of bits in other positions (right from the initial position)

It's easy to estimate the size of $S_{1}$ : bits in the same position are generated by the recurrence formula

$$
w_{i}=w_{i+2} \oplus w_{i+8} \oplus w_{i+13}
$$

Minimal weights of such sequences can be easily found (only $2^{16}$ possibilities).

We can't say much about the size of the second set, only that $\left|S_{2}\right| \geq 1$ for patterns longer than 16.

## Bounds : 34-step pattern is optimal

| steps | $32-34$ | $35-38$ | 39,40 | 41 | 42,43 | $44-47$ | 48,49 | 50 | 51 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| min. wt | 8 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 11 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 16 |
| steps | 52,53 | $54-56$ | $57-64$ | $65-67$ | $68-71$ | 72 | $73-75$ | 76,77 | $78-85$ |
| min. wt | 17 | 18 | 19 | 23 | 22 | 26 | 24 | 29 | 30 |



34 steps case: minimal size of $S_{1}-8$, minimal size of $S_{2}-1$.
Actual weight : 9

## Future work

- Can we use

- Can we use

- Can we construct complete differences in a different way than using disturbance-corrections strategy?

The End

## Thank you!

