Curves and surfaces represented by polynomial support functions

Dedicated to André Galligo on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

Zbyněk Šír[1], Jens Gravesen[2] and Bert Jüttler[1]

[1] *Johannes Kepler University, Institute of Applied Geometry, Altenberger Str. 69, 4040 Linz, Austria,*
{zbynek.sir, bert.juettler}@jku.at

[2] *Technical University of Denmark, Department of Mathematics DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark,*
j.gravesen@mat.dtu.dk

Abstract

This paper studies shapes (curves and surfaces) which can be described by (piecewise) polynomial support functions. The class of these shapes is closed under convolutions, offsetting, rotations and translations. We give a geometric discussion of these shapes and discuss the approximation of general curves and surfaces by them. Based on the rich theory of spherical spline functions, this leads to computational techniques for rational curves and surfaces with rational offsets, which can deal with shapes without inflections / parabolic points.

Key words: polynomial support function, approximation by spherical splines, offset surfaces, convolutions.

1 Introduction

Due to their importance for various applications, *offset* curves and surfaces have been subject of intensive research in Computer Aided Design (CAD). Offsetting is closely related to the notion of the *convolution* of two surfaces, which contains offsetting as a special case (convolution with a sphere).

The class of (piecewise) rational curves and surfaces (i.e., NURBS), which is frequently used in CAD, is not closed under offsetting and convolutions. For this reason, several approximate techniques have been developed [3,4,14]. These techniques require a careful control of the approximation error. In particular, each offset curve or surface has to be approximated separately.

On the other hand, it is possible to identify subsets of the space of rational curves and surfaces which are closed under offsetting, or even under the (more general) convolution operator. In the curve case, this led first to the interesting class of polynomial Pythagorean–hodograph (PH) curves, see [5] and the references cited therein. This class of curves is now fairly well understood, and various computational techniques for generating them are available.

This approach has later been extended to the surface case, by introducing the class of rational PH curves and Pythagorean–normal vector (PN) surfaces [16,15]. This class has been defined by using a very elegant construction, which provides a so–called dual control structure: Starting from a so–called dual parametric representation of the unit circle/sphere, the dual control structure of a rational PH curve / PN surface is obtained simply by applying parallel displacements to the control lines / planes.

In practice, however, it turned out that it is very difficult to use this dual control structure for curve and surface design [19]. This motivated the investigation of alternative representations, which may even deal with the more general operation of convolution [12,18].

In order to deal with offsets and convolutions, the present paper studies the so–called support function representation of curves and surfaces. Roughly speaking, a curve / surface is described by the distance of its tangent planes to the origin of the coordinate system, which is the used to define a function on the unit circle / unit sphere. This representation is one of the classical tools in the field of convex geometry, see e.g. [2,10,11]. Its application to problems in Computer Aided Design can be traced back to a classical paper of Sabin [17].

In order to use this representation for geometric design, we are particularly interested in the case of (piecewise) polynomial support functions. By using functions of this type, it is possible to apply the well–developed theory of spline functions on the sphere to this case [1].

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. After recalling some notions from differential geometry in Section 2, the third sections shows how to describe shapes by their support function. We introduce the linear space of quasi–convex shapes and discuss smoothness of the surfaces and norms of associated operators. Section 4 discusses the case of *polynomial* support functions. It is shown that any shape with a polynomial support function can be obtained as the convolution of finitely many elementary shapes, which can be derived from certain hypocycloids [1]. Section 5 is devoted to computational techniques for approximating general support functions by (piecewise) polynomial ones. Finally, we conclude this paper.

[1] In the curve case, related results were already noted in [7].

2 Some notions from differential geometry

In this section we recall some fundamental notions from differential geometry: tangent spaces, intrinsic gradients and Hessians of functions defined on manifolds, covariant derivatives, and differentials of mappings. We will present all these notions in the case of *embedded hypermanifolds*, where they can be obtained via projection into the tangent space.

We consider a smooth oriented n–dimensional manifold M ($n = 1$: a curve, $n = 2$: a surface) which is embedded into the $n+1$–dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The latter space is equipped with the usual inner product (denoted by '$\cdot$'). In particular, we are interested in the case of the unit sphere ($n = 1$: circle) S.

2.1 Tangent spaces and gradients

For any point $\mathbf{p} \in M$ we have an associated *unit normal vector* $\mathbf{n_p}$ which defines the *tangent space*

$$T_{\mathbf{p}}M = \{\mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_p = 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \tag{1}$$

along with the orthogonal projection

$$\pi_{\mathbf{p}} :\ \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to T_{\mathbf{p}}M :\ \mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{x} - (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{n_p})\mathbf{n_p}. \tag{2}$$

Let $h \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathbb{R})$ be a real–valued function. The restriction of h to M defines a C^1 function on the manifold M.

For any vector $\mathbf{v} \in T_{\mathbf{p}}M$ we define the directional derivative

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{v})h = (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)h \Big|_{\mathbf{p}}, \tag{3}$$

where ∇ is the usual nabla operator in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, which is used like a column vector. Moreover, the vector

$$\nabla_M h \Big|_{\mathbf{p}} = \pi_{\mathbf{p}}(\nabla h \Big|_{\mathbf{p}}), \tag{4}$$

is called the *gradient* of h with respect to the manifold M. Observe that if $\mathbf{v} \in T_{\mathbf{p}}M$ then $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{v})h = (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_M)h \Big|_{\mathbf{p}}$. The directional derivatives and the gradient of a function h with respect to a manifold M are fully determined by the restriction of h to M.

2.2 Covariant derivatives and Hessians

The restriction of a vector–valued function $\mathbf{w} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ to M defines a *vector field* on M, provided that $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{p}) \in T_{\mathbf{p}}M$ holds for all points $\mathbf{p} \in M$. For any point $\mathbf{p} \in M$ and tangent vector $\mathbf{v} \in T_{\mathbf{p}}M$, the vector

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{w} = \pi_{\mathbf{p}}(\ (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{w}\ \Big|_{\mathbf{p}}) \tag{5}$$

is called the *covariant directional derivative* of the vector field $\mathbf{w}$ with respect to the direction $\mathbf{v}$ at $\mathbf{p}$. Again, it is fully determined by the restriction of $\mathbf{w}$ to M.

Let $h \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathbb{R})$ be again a real–valued function. The linear mapping

$$\mathrm{Hess}_M \Big|_{\mathbf{p}} : T_{\mathbf{p}}M \to T_{\mathbf{p}}M : \mathbf{v} \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{v})(\nabla_M h) \tag{6}$$

is called the *Hessian* of the function h with respect to the manifold M at the point $\mathbf{p}$. Once more, the Hessian of a function h with respect to a manifold M is fully determined by the restriction of h to M.

2.3 The differential of a mapping between manifolds

We consider a function $\mathbf{x} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, which is now seen as a mapping of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ to itself, with the Jacobian

$$J(\mathbf{x}) \Big|_{\mathbf{p}} :\ \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} :\ \mathbf{v} \mapsto (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{x} \Big|_{\mathbf{p}} \tag{7}$$

We assume that the image of the manifold M is contained in another smooth manifold N. Then, for any point $\mathbf{p} \in M$, the restriction of the Jacobian to $T_{\mathbf{p}}M$ maps the tangent space of M into the tangent space of N at $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{p})$. This linear mapping

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \Big|_{\mathbf{p}} :\ T_{\mathbf{p}}M \to TN_{\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{p})} :\ \mathbf{v} \mapsto (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{x} \Big|_{\mathbf{p}} \tag{8}$$

is called the *differential* of the mapping $\mathbf{x} : M \to N$ at $\mathbf{p}$. It depends solely on the restriction of $\mathbf{x}$ to M.

2.4 The Gauss map and the Weingarten map

Recall that the *Gauss map* $\mathbf{G}$ of an embedded hypermanifold assigns to a point the associated unit normal,

$$\mathbf{G} : M \to S : \mathbf{p} \mapsto \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}. \tag{9}$$

All properties concerning the curvature of M at a point $\mathbf{p}$ can be derived from the Weingarten map $W = -\mathrm{d}\mathbf{G}$. Since the tangent spaces of M at $\mathbf{p}$ and of S at $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}$ are identical, the map W is a linear map of $T_{\mathbf{p}}M$ into itself. The eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the Weingarten map are the principal directions and principal curvatures, respectively, and the determinant of W is the Gaussian curvature of M at $\mathbf{p}$. The case of curves, along with an application to mechanical design, has been studied in [8,9].

3 Defining shapes by their support function

In this section we introduce the support function representation of hypersurfaces and study its basic properties.

3.1 The envelope operator

From now on we often consider the unit sphere $S = S^n$ as a n–dimensional manifold. Its points will simply be denoted by $\mathbf{n}$, since they coincide with the normals.

Definition 1 *Let $U \subseteq S$ be an open subset of the n dimensional unit sphere*[2] *and $h \in C^1(U, \mathbb{R})$ be the so-called* **support function***. Let $\mathbf{x}_h \in C^0(U, \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ be defined as*

$$\mathbf{x}_h : \mathbf{n} \mapsto \mathbf{x}_h(\mathbf{n}) = h(\mathbf{n})\mathbf{n} + \nabla_S h \Big|_{\mathbf{n}} . \tag{10}$$

The linear operator

$$\mathcal{E} : C^1(U, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^0(U, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}) : h \mapsto \mathbf{x}_h \tag{11}$$

is called the **envelope operator***.*

Recall that we consider the unit sphere S as an *embedded* manifold in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Hence, the gradient $\nabla_S h$ at $\mathbf{n}$ is contained in $TS_{\mathbf{n}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and $\mathbf{n} \in S \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

The geometrical meaning of the formula (10) is as follows.

Proposition 2 *The vector–valued function $\mathbf{x}_h$ parameterizes the envelope of the family of the hyperplanes*

$$T_{\mathbf{n}} = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{n} = h(\mathbf{n})\}, \quad \mathbf{n} \in U \subseteq S, \tag{12}$$

with normal vector $\mathbf{n}$ and distance $h(\mathbf{n})$ to the origin.

Proof. For any point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ we consider the function $f_{\mathbf{x}} : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$f_{\mathbf{x}} : \mathbf{n} \mapsto \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{x} - h(\mathbf{n}). \tag{13}$$

If a point $\mathbf{x}$ belongs to the envelope and corresponds to a certain point $\mathbf{n}_0 \in S$, then it satisfies

$$f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{n}_0) = \mathbf{n}_0 \cdot \mathbf{x} - h(\mathbf{n}_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in TS_{\mathbf{n}_0} : \ \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{n}_0}(\mathbf{v}) f_{\mathbf{x}} = 0. \tag{14}$$

A short computation leads to

$$D_{\mathbf{n}_0}(\mathbf{v}) f_{\mathbf{x}} = ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{x} - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) h \Big|_{\mathbf{n}_0} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x} - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) h \Big|_{\mathbf{n}_0} , \tag{15}$$

[2] A set $U \subseteq S$ is said to be open in S if there exists an open subset $\tilde{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that $U = S \cap \tilde{U}$.

since $(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{v}$. Consequently, after choosing a basis of $TS_{\mathbf{n}_0}$, we obtain from (14) a regular system of linear equations for $\mathbf{x}$, which has a unique solution. On the other hand, the point $\mathbf{x}_h(\mathbf{n}_0)$ fulfills the equations (14). □

Proposition 3 *Let $h \in C^2(U, \mathbb{R})$, where $U \subseteq S$ is an open subset of the unit sphere. A point $\mathbf{n} \in U$ is called a regular point for the vector–valued function $\mathbf{x}_h$ if*

$$\det(\mathrm{Hess}_S h + hI)\Big|_{\mathbf{n}} \neq 0, \tag{16}$$

where I is the identity on $TS_{\mathbf{n}}$. The vector–valued function $\mathbf{x}_h$ is a regular parameterization, if and only if all points $\mathbf{n} \in U$ are regular. If this assumption is satisfied, then the tangent spaces of S at $\mathbf{n} \in U$ and of $M = \mathbf{x}_h(U)$ at $\mathbf{x}_h(\mathbf{n})$ are identical, the differential of $\mathbf{x}_h$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_h = \mathrm{Hess}_S h + hI, \tag{17}$$

and $(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_h)^{-1}$ is the Gauss map of M.

Proof. A short computation confirms that for any $\mathbf{v} \in TS_{\mathbf{n}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_h - hI - \mathrm{Hess}_S h)\Big|_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{v}) \\
&= (\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)(h\mathbf{n}) + \underline{(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)(\nabla_S h)} - h\mathbf{v} - \underline{(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)(\nabla_S h)} + \{[(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)(\nabla_S h)]\cdot\mathbf{n}\}\mathbf{n}\Big|_{\mathbf{n}} \\
&= (\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla h)\mathbf{n} + \underline{h[\overbrace{(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{n}}^{=\mathbf{v}}]} - \underline{h\mathbf{v}} + (\{(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)[\overbrace{\nabla h - (\mathbf{n}\cdot\nabla h)\mathbf{n}}^{=\nabla_S h}]\}\cdot\mathbf{n})\mathbf{n}\Big|_{\mathbf{n}} \\
&= \underline{(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla h)\mathbf{n}} + \underline{\underline{\{[(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)(\nabla h)]\cdot\mathbf{n}\}\mathbf{n}}} - \underline{\{[\overbrace{(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{n}}^{=\mathbf{v}}]\cdot\nabla h\}(\overbrace{\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{n}}^{=1})\mathbf{n}} \\
&\qquad - \underline{\underline{\{\mathbf{n}\cdot[(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\nabla h]\}(\overbrace{\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{n}}^{=1})\,\mathbf{n}}} - (\mathbf{n}\cdot\nabla h)\{\underbrace{[\overbrace{(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{n}}^{=\mathbf{v}}]\cdot\mathbf{n}}_{=0}\}\mathbf{n}\Big|_{\mathbf{n}} \\
&= \mathbf{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where corresponding terms (that cancel each other) have been underlined. Consequently, if (16) is satisfied, then $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_h$ maps the tangent space of S onto itself. Since the normal of S at $\mathbf{n}$ equals $\mathbf{n}$, the inverse of the differential is the Gauss map. □

In particular, the principal directions of M are the eigenvectors of $\mathrm{Hess}_S h$ and if λ is an eigenvalue of $\mathrm{Hess}_S h$, then $-(\lambda + h)$ is a principal radius of curvature. Since the Weingarten map of the image of $\mathbf{x}_h$ is invertible at all points, none of the principal curvatures in any point can be zero. Thus, in the regular case, only curves without inflection points and hypersurfaces without parabolic points can be obtained from support functions.

The previously presented results are independent of a particular parameterization of S. In order to analyze and to visualize the surfaces for $n = 1, 2$, the following parameterizations may be useful.

Example 4 $(n = 1)$ Consider the parameterization

$$\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}(\theta) = (\sin\theta, \cos\theta)^\top, \quad \theta \in [-\pi, \pi) \tag{18}$$

of $S = S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, which gives the outward normal. If $h = h(\theta)$ is a C^1 support function[3] then

$$\mathbf{x}_h = h(\theta)\mathbf{n}(\theta) + h'(\theta)\mathbf{n}'(\theta) \tag{19}$$

If $h = h(\theta)$ is C^2, then

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_h : \mathbf{n}'(\theta) \mapsto (h''(\theta) + h(\theta))\mathbf{n}'(\theta). \tag{20}$$

In particular, it is easy to see that the curvature of the curve $\mathbf{x}_h(\theta)$ equals $\kappa(\theta) = -(h''(\theta) + h(\theta))^{-1}$.

Example 5 $(n = 2)$ Consider the parameterization

$$\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}(\phi, \psi) = (\sin\phi\sin\psi, \cos\phi\sin\psi, \cos\psi), \quad \phi \in [-\pi, \pi)^\top, \ \psi \in [0, \pi) \tag{21}$$

of $S = S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. If $h = h(\phi, \psi)$ is a C^1 support function, then

$$\mathbf{x}_h(\phi, \psi) = h(\phi, \psi)\mathbf{n} + \frac{h_\phi(\phi, \psi)}{\sin^2(\psi)}\mathbf{n}_\phi + h_\psi(\phi, \psi)\mathbf{n}_\psi, \tag{22}$$

where the subscripts indicate the partial derivatives. If $h(\phi, \psi)$ is C^2, then the differential $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_h$ is defined by its values on the basis $\mathbf{n}_\phi, \mathbf{n}_\psi$ of $TS_{\mathbf{n}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_h \Big|_{\mathbf{n}} (\mathbf{n}_\phi) &= (h + \frac{h_{\phi\phi}}{\sin^2\psi} + \frac{h_\psi \cos\psi}{\sin\psi})\mathbf{n}_\phi + (-\frac{h_\phi \cos\psi}{\sin\psi} + h_{\psi\phi})\mathbf{n}_\psi \\ \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_h \Big|_{\mathbf{n}} (\mathbf{n}_\psi) &= (\frac{h_{\phi\psi}}{\sin^2\psi} - \frac{h_\phi \cos\psi}{\sin^3\psi})\mathbf{n}_\phi + (h + h_{\psi\psi})\mathbf{n}_\psi. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

3.2 The linear space of quasi–convex shapes

It will be convenient to interpret $\mathbf{x} \in C^0(S^n, \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ as an *oriented shape*, i.e. as a set $\mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{x}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ together with normal vectors $\mathbf{n}$ attached at $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{n})$ for all $\mathbf{n}$. Note, that if the mapping $\mathbf{x}$ is not injective then at some points of the shape there can be attached more than one normal. Also, for a general function $\mathbf{x}$, the surface normal at $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{n})$ may be different from $\mathbf{n}$. However, they are identical at regular points of $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_h$.

Definition 6 *The oriented shapes obtained as* $\mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{x}_h)$ *from* C^1 *support functions* $h \in C^1(S, \mathbb{R})$ *will be called oriented quasi-convex shapes (curves, surfaces). The space of all oriented quasi-convex shapes will be denoted* $\mathcal{Q}_n$*. It is a real linear space with respect to convolution (addition of the support functions) and homotheties with center* 0 *(multiplication by scalar).*

[3] Here we simply write $h(\theta)$ instead of $h(\mathbf{n}(\theta))$.

Table 1
Geometric operations and corresponding changes of the support function.

Geometric operation	Modified support
Translation by vector $\mathbf{v}$	$h^{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{n}) = h(\mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}$
Rotation by matrix $\mu \in SO(n+1)$	$h^{\mu}(\mathbf{n}) = h(\mu^{-1}(\mathbf{n}))$
Scaling by factor $c \in \mathbb{R}$	$h^{c}(\mathbf{n}) = c\, h(\mathbf{n})$
Offsetting with distance d	$h^{d}(\mathbf{n}) = h(\mathbf{n}) + d$
Change of orientation (reversion of all normals)	$h^{-}(\mathbf{n}) = -h(-\mathbf{n})$

Remark 7 The *convolution* of two oriented surfaces $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ with associated unit normal fields $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{b})$, $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{b})$ for $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{B}$ is the surface

$$\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{b})\}. \tag{24}$$

This notion is closely related to Minkowski sums. In the general case, the boundary of the Minkowski sum of two sets A, B is contained in the convolution of the two boundary surfaces $\delta A, \delta B$. In the case of convex sets, both surfaces are identical. If one of the surfaces is a sphere, then the convolution is a one–sided offset surface. See [18] for more information and related references.

Example 8 We analyze the oriented shapes which are associated with the simplest possible support functions.

- If $h(\mathbf{n}) = c$ is a constant function, then $\mathbf{x}_h(\mathbf{n}) = c\mathbf{n}$. The corresponding shape is the sphere with the radius $|c|$ oriented by outer (if $c > 0$) or inner (if $c < 0$) normals.
- If $h(\mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}$, where $\mathbf{0} \neq \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a constant vector, then

$$\nabla_S(h)\Big|_{\mathbf{n}} = \pi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n}, \tag{25}$$

  hence $\mathbf{x}_h(\mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{v}$. The corresponding shape is the single point $\mathbf{v}$ with attached unit normals in all directions.

Proposition 9 *The set of quasi-convex shapes $\mathcal{Q}_n$ is closed under the geometric operations of translation, rotation, scaling, offsetting, convolution and change of orientation (reversion all normals).*

Proof. Table 1 summarizes how these geometrical operation affect the corresponding support function. □

In particular, the envelope operator commutes with any special orthogonal transformation $\mu \in SO(n+1)$,

$$\mu \circ \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E} \circ \mu. \tag{26}$$

If h is C^k, then $\mathbf{x}_h = \mathcal{E}(h)$ is C^{k-1}. However if it defines a regular hypersurface $M = \mathbf{x}_h(U)$, then M is even C^k. More precisely, there exists a C^k parameterization of the hypersurface. We discuss this in the following proposition.

Proposition 10 *Let $h : U \to \mathbb{R}$, where U is an open subset of S, be a C^k function. Recall that $\pi_{\mathbf{n}}$ is the orthogonal projection from $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ to $T_{\mathbf{n}}U = T_{\mathbf{x}_h(\mathbf{n})}M$ and that $\pi_{\mathbf{n}} \Big| M$ is a local homeomorphism. If $k \geq 2$ and* (16) *holds at all points $\mathbf{n} \in U$, then the inverse projection $(\pi_{\mathbf{n}} \Big| M)^{-1}$ is a local C^k parameterization.*

Proof. The regularity condition immediately shows that $(\pi_{\mathbf{n}} \Big| M)^{-1}$ is a local C^{k-1} parameterization. As the normal $\mathbf{n}$ is a C^{k-1} vector valued function too an inspection of the proof of [6, Theorem 10.1] reveals that $(\pi_{\mathbf{n}} \Big| M)^{-1}$ is of class C^k. □

In the case $k = 1$ the regularity condition (16) does not make sense and instead it is essentially necessary to assume the existence of a tangent plane to show that M is of class C^1. However, in applications, the support function h will often be given as a piecewise C^∞ function. In this situation, it is possible to derive a simpler condition.

Proposition 11 *Let $h : U \to \mathbb{R}$, where U is an open subset of S, be a C^1 function. We assume that h is C^2 for all $\mathbf{n} \in U_0 \subseteq U$, where $U \setminus U_0$ is a collection of finitely many smooth sub–manifolds of dimension $n-1$ intersecting transversally in S, and we let $\pi_{\mathbf{n}}$ be as in the previous proposition. If there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that the function* $\det(H(h) + hI)$ *satisfies either*

$$\forall \mathbf{n} \in U_0 : \det(H(h) + hI)\Big|_{\mathbf{n}} > \epsilon \quad \text{or} \quad \forall \mathbf{n} \in U_0 : \det(H(h) + hI)\Big|_{\mathbf{n}} < -\epsilon,$$

then $(\pi_{\mathbf{n}}|_M)^{-1}$ is a local C^1 parameterization around $\mathbf{x}_h(\mathbf{n})$ for all $\mathbf{n} \in U$.

Proof. From the previous proposition $M = \mathbf{x}(U)$ is a collection of C^2 patches. If two of these patches meets along a common C^2 boundary, then they meet with matching tangent spaces, so either they do form a C^1 hypersurface or they meet in a cuspidal 'edge' (of dimension $n-1$). Let $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{n})$ be a point on the common boundary and choose a basis $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n$ for the tangent space $T_{\mathbf{n}}S^n$ such that $\mathrm{d}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathrm{d}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}_{n-1}$ is tangent to the common boundary. If the two values of $\mathrm{d}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}_n$ is on the same side of $\mathrm{span}\{\mathrm{d}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathrm{d}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}_{n-1}\}$ then the hypersurface is C^1 at $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{n})$. Thus, the hypersurface is C^1 if the two orientations of the tangent space $T_{\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{n})}\mathbf{x}(U)$ agrees, and as the orientation is determined by the sign of $\det(H(h) + h)$ the result follows. □

3.4 Norms

Next we discuss the relation between various norms of $h \in C^1(U, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbf{x}_h = \mathcal{E}(h) \in C^0(U, \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, where $U \subseteq S$.

Proposition 12 *The point–wise equation*

$$\forall \mathbf{n} \in U : \|\mathbf{x}_h(\mathbf{n})\|^2 = |h(\mathbf{n})|^2 + \|\nabla_S h \Big|_{\mathbf{n}} \|^2 \tag{27}$$

implies

$$\|\mathbf{x}_h\|_2^2 = \|h\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_S h\|_2^2, \tag{28}$$

where $\| \cdot \|_2$ *is the* L^2 *norm in* $C^1(U, \mathbb{R})$ *and* $C^0(U, \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$*, respectively, and*

$$\|\mathbf{x}_h\|_\infty^2 \leq \|h\|_\infty^2 + \|\nabla_S h\|_\infty^2, \tag{29}$$

where $\| \cdot \|_\infty$ *is the* L^∞ *norm in* $C^1(U, \mathbb{R})$ *and* $C^0(U, \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$*, respectively.*

Proof. The first equation (27) follows from $\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_S h \Big|_{\mathbf{n}} = 0$. $\square$

Proposition 13 *If* $h \in C^2(S, \mathbb{R})$ *satisfies* (16) *for all* $\mathbf{n} \in S$*, then*

$$\|\mathbf{x}_h\|_\infty = \|h\|_\infty. \tag{30}$$

Proof. The maximum of $\|\mathbf{x}_h\|^2 = |h|^2 + \|\nabla_S h\|^2$ is attained at a point where the gradient vanishes. Since

$$\nabla_S(h^2 + \nabla_S h \cdot \nabla_S h) = 2h\nabla_S h + 2\mathrm{Hess}_S h \nabla_S h = 2(\mathrm{Hess}_S h + h)\nabla_S h$$

this occurs at a point where $\nabla_S h \Big|_{\mathbf{n}} = 0$. At this point, (27) becomes $\|\mathbf{x}_h(\mathbf{n})\| = |h(\mathbf{n})|$ which is bounded by $\|h\|_\infty$, hence $\|\mathbf{x}_h\|_\infty \leq \|h\|_\infty$. On the other hand, the point–wise equation (27) gives $\|h\|_\infty \leq \|\mathbf{x}_h\|_\infty$. $\square$

This result is closely related a classical bound on the Hausdorff distance of convex shapes. If h_1, h_2 are the support functions of two closed convex hypersurfaces $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2$ with outward pointing normals, then

$$\mathrm{dist}_{\mathrm{Hausdorff}}(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2) = \|h_1 - h_2\|_\infty, \tag{31}$$

see [10].

In the case of a constant support function h, the inequality (29) is an equality.

Corollary 14 *The norm of the envelope operator* $\mathcal{E}$ *is* 1 *when considering the* L_2 *(resp.* L_∞*) norm of the domain space and the corresponding Sobolev norm of the image space.*

Remark 15 The regularity condition (16) is indeed necessary for (30), as shown by the following example. Let $n = 1$ and consider the parameterization (18) of $S = S^1$. Then $h(\theta) = \cos(2\theta)$ defines a C^2 function on S^1. The envelope $\mathbf{x}_h$ can be evaluated using (19),

$$\mathbf{x}_h(\theta) = (-3\sin(\theta) + 2\sin^3(\theta), 3\cos(\theta) - 2\cos^3(\theta))^\top,$$

see the first picture of Fig. 2. We obtain $\|\mathbf{x}_h\|_\infty = |\mathbf{x}_h(\pi/4)| = 2$ and $\|h\|_\infty = 1$.

4 Polynomial support functions

In this section we study the shapes corresponding to support functions obtained by restricting polynomials defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ to S. Before discussing the cases $n = 1$ (curves) and $n = 2$ (surfaces) in more detail, we present general notions and results.

4.1 General results

Definition 16 *A quasi-convex shape with a support function which is a restriction of a polynomial of degree k on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ to S will be called* ***quasi-convex shape of degree*** *k.*

The set of all quasi-convex shapes of degree k forms a linear subspace of $\mathcal{Q}_n$ closed under all geometric operation listed in the Table 1.

Proposition 17 *Any quasi–convex shape of degree k admits a rational parameterization of degree $2k + 2$.*

Proof. If the support function h is a polynomial of degree k, then both $h\mathbf{n}$ and $\nabla_S h = \nabla h - (\nabla h \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n}$ are restrictions of polynomials of degree $k + 1$ to S. Consequently, $\mathbf{x}_h = \mathcal{E}(h)$ is the restriction of a polynomial of degree $k + 1$. By composing it with a quadratic rational parameterization of S (which can be obtained via stereographic projection) we obtain a rational parameterization of degree $2k + 2$. □

4.2 Curves ($n = 1$)

Even simple polynomial support functions on the circle correspond to rather complicated and non-symmetric shapes. On the other hand, using the parameterization (18) of the circle, any such function can be expressed as a trigonometric polynomial in θ. The basis functions $\cos(k\theta)$ and $\sin(k\theta)$ lead to simple quasi-convex oriented shapes.

Lemma 18 *The hypocycloid generated by rolling a circle of radius r within a circle*



Fig. 1. A hypocycloid and its tangent.

of radius R has the support function

$$\mathbf{h}(\theta) = (R-2r)\cos\left(\frac{R}{R-2r}\theta\right) \tag{32}$$

with respect to the parameterization (18).

Proof. We choose the coordinates such that the fixed circle is centered at the origin, while for $\theta = 0$ the center of the rolling circle is located at $(0, R-r)^\top$, and the tracing point at $(0, R-2r)^\top$, see Figure 1, grey circle. The associated normal is the "outer" normal $(0,1)^\top$. Suppose that the small circle rotates through angle α arriving at the position represented by the small black circle. By the definition of the hypocycloid we have $\measuredangle LSP = \alpha$ and $\measuredangle YOT = (r/R)\,\alpha$. Moreover, the normal of the hypocycloid at the point P passes through the point T of contact of both circles. The tangent KP is therefore perpendicular to the segment TP and passes through L. Due to the similarity of triangles $\triangle KOL \sim \triangle PTL$,

$$\measuredangle KOT = \measuredangle LTP = \frac{\measuredangle LSP}{2} = \frac{\alpha}{2} \tag{33}$$

and the angle θ of the normal KO at P equals

$$\theta = \measuredangle KOY = \measuredangle YOT - \measuredangle KOT = -\frac{R-2r}{2R}\alpha. \tag{34}$$

Finally we obtain the distance of the tangent KP from the origin

$$h(\theta) = |KO| = \frac{R-2r}{2r}|TP| = \frac{R-2r}{2r}2r\cos\frac{\alpha}{2}. \tag{35}$$

which implies (32). $\square$

By choosing $r = \frac{k-1}{2}$ and $R = k$ in (32) we obtain the support functions

$$\mathbf{h}(\theta) = \cos(k\theta), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

defined over the entire circle $S = S^1$. The corresponding shapes are closed hypocycloids with ratio of circle radii $k : \frac{k-1}{2}$. They will be called *hypocycloid of degree k*,



Fig. 2. Hypocycloids of degree 2 to 7 with attached normals. Note the different scaling.

see Fig. 2. If k is odd, the hypocycloid is traced twice, but with opposite normals.

Proposition 19 *The hypocycloid of degree k is a quasi-convex curve of degree k. Any quasi-convex curve of degree k can be obtained as the convolution of a circle, a point and at most $k-1$ hypocycloids (suitably rotated and scaled). Only hypocycloids of degree less or equal to k occur, each at most once.*

Proof. Using (18), the support function $\cos(k\theta)$ on S^1 can be expressed a polynomial of degree k in $\cos(\theta) = y$,

$$\cos(k\theta) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^\ell \binom{k}{2\ell} y^{k-2\ell}(1-y^2)^\ell. \tag{36}$$

The hypocycloid of degree k is therefore a quasi-convex curve of degree k. For any quasi–convex curve of degree k, the support function has a finite Fourier expansion

$$p(x, y) = p_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (c_i \cos(i\theta) + s_i \sin(i\theta)). \tag{37}$$



Fig. 3. A curve and its hypocycloidical components.

For each i, we can find an angle θ_i such that $c_i = m_i \cos(i\theta_i)$ and $s_i = m_i \sin(i\theta_i)$, where $m_i = \sqrt{c_i^2 + s_i^2}$, hence

$$p(x, y) = p_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i \cos(i(\theta - \theta_i)). \tag{38}$$

Consequently, the curve is obtained as the convolution of a oriented circle with radius p_0, the point $(m_1 \sin(\theta_1), m_1 \cos(\theta_1))^\top$ and of $k-2$ rotated hypocycloids obtained for $i = 2, \ldots, k$. $\square$

Example 20 Consider the polynomial

$$p(x, y) = \tfrac{32}{5}\, y^4 + \tfrac{7}{3}\, x^3 + 4\, x^2 y - \tfrac{17}{4}\, xy^2 - \tfrac{7}{5}\, y^3 - \tfrac{3}{4}\, x^2 + 2\, xy - \tfrac{86}{15}\, y^2 + 3\, x - \tfrac{14}{5}\, y + \tfrac{154}{5}.$$

The corresponding quasi–convex curve is shown in Figure 3, top. By computing the Fourier coefficients, one finds that it is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} h(\theta) = \tfrac{719}{24} + \left[\tfrac{57}{20}\, \cos(\theta) + \tfrac{59}{16}\, \sin(\theta)\right] + \left[\tfrac{17}{24}\, \cos(2\,\theta) + \sin(2\,\theta)\right] + \\ + \left[-\tfrac{27}{20}\, \cos(3\,\theta) - \tfrac{79}{48}\, \sin(3\,\theta)\right] + \tfrac{4}{5}\, \cos(4\,\theta)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

with respect to the parameterization (18). The original curve is therefore obtained as a convolution of the circle with radius $\frac{719}{24}$, of the point $[\frac{57}{20}, \frac{59}{16}]$ and of the three hypocycloids shown in Fig. 3, bottom.



Fig. 4. HCR-surfaces of degrees 2 to 6.

4.3 Surfaces ($n = 2$)

In order to extend the previous results to the surface case, we define a surface of revolution by rotating the hypocycloid (32) of degree k around the y axis. This surface will be called *HCR-surface of degree* k. See Fig. 4 for examples.

Proposition 21 *The HCR-surface of degree* k *is a quasi-convex surface of degree* k*. Moreover, any quasi-convex surface of degree* k *can be obtained as a convolution of a sphere, a point and at most* $(k+3)(k-1)$ *HCR-surfaces. Only HCR-surfaces of degree* $i \leq k$ *occur, each at most* $(2i+1)$ *times.*

Proof. The HCR-surface of degree k has the support function given by restriction of the polynomial (36) considered as a polynomial in x, y, z (though only y appears). Therefore it is a quasi-convex surface of degree k.

Now, consider the space $\mathcal{P}$ of all functions on $S = S^2$ obtained by restricting polynomials in x, y, z to S. As a well–known fact from harmonical analysis,

$$\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_i, \tag{40}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_i$ is the eigenspace of the spherical Laplacian with the eigenvalue $-i(i+1)$. The dimension of $\mathcal{P}_i$ is $2i+1$ and any element of $\mathcal{P}_i$ can be obtained as a restriction of a homogeneous polynomial of degree i. See [10] for more information on spherical harmonics and their application to support functions.

We choose a grading of $\mathcal{P}$ by defining

$$\mathcal{P}^k = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k} \mathcal{P}_i. \tag{41}$$

The dimension of $\mathcal{P}^k$ is $\sum_{i=0}^{k}(2i+1) = (k+1)^2$ and each $\mathcal{P}^k$ consists of the restrictions of all polynomials in x, y, z of degree up to k. The HCR-surface of degree i corresponds to the support function which is the restriction of the polynomial

$$p_i(x, y, z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^\ell \binom{i}{2\ell} y^{i-2\ell}(1 - y^2)^\ell. \tag{42}$$

The support functions given by polynomials of the form

$$c \cdot p_i(0, a_x x + a_y y + a_z z, 0), \tag{43}$$

where $a_x^2 + a_y^2 + a_z^2 = 1$, correspond to the HCR-surface of degree i which are scaled by c and rotated such that its axis of revolution is in the direction of the vector $[a_x, a_y, a_z]$.

We claim that the polynomials of the form (43) for $i = 0, \ldots, k$ span $\mathcal{P}^k$. Since p_i is of degree i, any polynomial in y of degree i can be expressed as linear combination of polynomials p_i. This is true in particular for the monomial y^i (for any $i \leq k$) and thus any i-th power of a linear term $(a_x x + a_y y + a_z z)^i$ can be obtained as linear combination of polynomials of the form (43). Finally any polynomial can be obtained as a linear combination of powers of linear terms, since

$$x^R y^S z^T = \frac{1}{D!} \sum_{r,s,t=0}^{R,S,T} (-1)^{D-r-s-t} \binom{R}{r} \binom{S}{s} \binom{T}{t} (rx + sy + tz)^D, \tag{44}$$

where $D = R + S + T$ is the total degree of the monomial.

Consequently, the support functions of (scaled and rotated) HCR-surfaces generate the entire space $\mathcal{P}$. By considering the dimensions of the grades $\mathcal{P}^k$ it is clear, that there is a basis of $\mathcal{P}$ consisting of the support functions of the sphere, of three points, of 5 HCR-surfaces of the degree 2, of 7 of the degree 3 etc. Adding always $2k + 1$ HCR-surfaces of k-th degree we extend a basis of $\mathcal{P}^{k-1}$ to a basis of $\mathcal{P}^k$. □

5 Approximation of support functions

Based on the previous results, we show how to approximate any quasi–convex curve or surface by rational curves or surfaces with rational offsets.



Fig. 5. Approximations of a given quasi–convex curve (grey) by (rational) shapes of finite degree k. The Hausdorff distance ϵ between the target and the approximation shapes (printed below each figure) is invisible for $k > 3$. In order to show the mutual position of the curves, we magnified the gap between them by coefficient mag.

5.1 Harmonic expansion

The support function of a given quasi–convex shape can be approximated by its harmonic ($n = 1$: Fourier) expansion up to certain degree. The corresponding shape approximates the original one with an accuracy which can be determined from the support function, due to Proposition 12. These approximations preserve all original symmetries.

This approach is particularly well suited for "smooth" shapes. Due to Proposition 17, we obtain approximations of the original shape by rational curves (and surfaces) with rational offsets.

We illustrate this observation by two examples.

Example 22 In order to demonstrate the approximation power of the Fourier expansion, we approximate the planar shape with the support function

$$h(\theta) = \sin(\sin(\theta)) + \cos(\cos(\theta)) + \frac{1}{2}$$

(see the grey curve in Fig. 5) by shapes of finite degree (black curves).

Example 23 We approximate an ellipsoid with axes of lengths 1, $\sqrt{2}$ and 2. The support function is the restriction of $h_0 = \sqrt{x^2 + 2y^2 + 4z^2}$ to $S = S^2$. Figure 6 shows the approximation of the ellipsoid and of its offsets based on the harmonic expansion up to degree 6, which corresponds to a rational parametric representation of degree 14. The error of the shape approximation is 0.00187, or about 0.05% of the biggest diameter of the ellipsoid.



Fig. 6. Parametric rational approximation of degree 14 of the ellipsoid (outer shape) and of its two interior offsets at distances 0.45 and 0.9.

5.2 Localized approximation

In many cases, only a surface patch may be given, and the use of a more local technique than global harmonic expansion may be more appropriate. We suppose that points $\mathbf{X}_i$ and associated unit normals $\mathbf{n}_i$ sampled from a surface patch are given. Consequently, $\mathbf{X}_i \cdot \mathbf{n}_i$ are the values and $\mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \cdot \mathbf{n}_i$ are the gradients of the support function of the patch at the point $\mathbf{n}_i$ of S^2.

In order to approximate the given surface by a surface with rational offsets, we are looking, within a given space $\mathcal{H}$, for the support function h approximating these values and gradients in the least-squares sense. More precisely we solve the quadratic minimization problem

$$\min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} (h(\mathbf{n}_i) - \mathbf{X}_i \cdot \mathbf{n}_i)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\| \nabla_S h \,\Big|_{\mathbf{n}_i} - \mathbf{X}_i + \mathbf{X}_i \cdot \mathbf{n}_i \right\|^2 \right), \tag{45}$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is a suitable linear space of support functions [4]. The unique minimum can be computed by solving a linear system of equations where unknowns are coefficients of h with respect to some basis of $\mathcal{H}$.

[4] Clearly, the summation in (45) can be seen as simple numerical integration, and the objective function could be defined using an integral.

Table 2
Approximation error of a biquadratic tensor product patch.

Degree	Error	Degree	Error	Degree	Error	Degree	Error
2	$3.86\ 10^{-1}$	4	$2.80\ 10^{-2}$	6	$1.38\ 10^{-3}$	8	$6.32\ 10^{-5}$
3	$1.09\ 10^{-1}$	5	$6.50\ 10^{-3}$	7	$2.66\ 10^{-4}$	9	$1.37\ 10^{-5}$

In our example (see below) we considered $\mathcal{H}$ to be (restrictions of) polynomials up to degree k. As a basis of this space one may choose the monomials of total degree k and $k-1$, i.e. the basis $\{x^p y^q z^r : (k-1) \leq p+q+r \leq k\}$. Clearly, it is also possible to use other spaces of functions, such as piecewise polynomials (i.e., spherical spline functions, see [1]).

Example 24 We consider a biquadratic polynomial tensor-product patch, see Figure 7. We sample N points $[\mathbf{X}_i]_{i=1}^N$ and we compute the unit normals $[\mathbf{n}_i]_{i=1}^N$ at these points. In our example we considered $N = 256$ points sampled at a regular grid in the parameter domain. As a result we obtain an approximation of the original patch by a piece of quasi-convex surface of degree k. Simultaneously we obtain approximations of all offsets within the same error. Table 2 and Figure 7 show and visualize the approximation error and its improvement for increasing degree of the support function.

5.3 Piecewise linear approximation

As the simplest instance of spherical splines, we consider piecewise linear support functions which are defined on a triangulation of the Gaussian sphere. The segments of this function are restrictions of linear polynomials of the form $ax + by + cz$ to the sphere. They can be pieced together along great circular arcs, so as to form a globally continuous function. This simple class of spline functions can be used to interpolate the values of the support function at the vertices of the underlying spherical triangulation.

The associated surface cannot be obtained directly from the envelope operator, since the support function is not differentiable. Still one may associate a piecewise linear surface with it, which is the envelope of the family of planes (12). Its faces and vertices correspond to the vertices and triangles of the piecewise linear function on the Gaussian sphere, respectively.

Example 25 We consider the support functions which have been obtained by piecewise linear interpolation of the support functions of two quadric surfaces, see Fig. 8. Consequently, each face of the piecewise linear surface is the tangent plane of the original surface at the point with the same normal. In the case of an ellipsoid, which contains only elliptic points, we obtain a mesh which consists mostly if convex hexagons (see Figure 8, top row). In the case of a hyperboloid of one sheet, which contains only hyperbolic points, we get a mesh which consists mostly of bow–tie–



Fig. 7. Approximations of the biquadratic patch and its offsets.

shaped non–convex hexagons (see Figure 8, bottom row).

6 Conclusion

In this paper we explored several aspects of the representation of curves and surfaces by (piecewise) polynomial support functions. The corresponding shapes are very well suited to define a set of curves and surfaces which is closed under convolutions and offsetting. Similar results can be obtained for other linear spaces of support functions (which should – of course – contain linear polynomials).

As a matter of future research, we aim at extending these results to curves and surfaces with inflections resp. with parabolic points. For instance, one might consider support–like functions defined on other surfaces than spheres. Also, the surfaces defined by piecewise linear support functions seem to be quite interesting and shall



Fig. 8. Support function based approximations of elliptic and hyperbolic surfaces with piecewise linear surfaces.

be studied in more detail.

Acknowledgment

The first author has been supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) through project P17387–N12.

References

[1] Alfeld, P., Neamtu, M., Schumaker, L. L., Fitting scattered data on sphere-like surfaces using spherical splines, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 73, 5–43 (1996).

[2] Bonnesen, T. and Fenchel, W., *Theory of convex bodies.* BCS Associates, Moscow, Idaho, 1987.

[3] Elber, G., and Cohen, E., Error bound variable distance offset operator for free form curves and surfaces. Int. J. Comput. Geom. Appl. **1**, 67-78 (1991).

[4] Elber, G., Lee, I.–W., and Kim, M.-S., Comparing Offset Curve Approximation Methods, IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications 17.3 (1997), 62–71.

[5] Farouki, R., Pythagorean-hodograph curves, Handbook of Computer Aided Geometric Design (G. Farin, J. Hoschek, and M-S. Kim, eds.), North Holland, 405-427 (2002).

[6] Gluck, H., Geometric characterization of differentiable manifolds in Euclidean space II, *Michigan Math. J.* **15**, 33–50 (1968).

[7] Görtler, H., Erzeugung stützbarer Bereiche I; II. Deutsche Math. 2, 454-466 (1937); 3, 189-200 (1938).

[8] Gravesen, J. and Henriksen, C., The geometry of the scroll compressor, *SIAM Review* **43**, 113–126 (2001).

[9] Gravesen, J., The Intrinsic Equation of Planar Curves and G^2 Hermite Interpolation, in *Geometric modelling and computing: Seattle 2003*, M. Lucian and M. Neamtu (eds.), Nashboro Press, Brentwood, 2004, 295–310.

[10] Groemer, H. *Geometric Applications of Fourier Series and Spherical Harmonics* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.

[11] Gruber, P. M. and Wills, J. M., Handbook of convex geometry, North–Holland, Amsterdam, 1993.

[12] Jüttler, B. and Sampoli, M.L., Hermite interpolation by piecewise polynomial surfaces with rational offsets, *Comp. Aided Geom. Design* **17**, 361–385, (2000).

[13] Lewy, H. On differential geometry in the large. I. Minkowski's problem. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **43**, 258–270 (1938).

[14] Maekawa, T., An overview of offset curves and surfaces, Comput.-Aided Des. **31**, 165-173 (1999).

[15] Peternell, M., and Pottmann, H., A Laguerre geometric approach to rational offsets, *Computer Aided Geometric Design* **15**, 223–249 (1998).

[16] Pottmann, H., Rational curves and surfaces with rational offsets, *Computer Aided Geometric Design* **12**, 175–192 (1995).

[17] Sabin, M., A Class of Surfaces Closed under Five Important Geometric Operations, Technical report no. VTO/MS/207, British aircraft corporation, 1974, available at `http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/na/people/Malcolm/ vtoms/vtos.html`

[18] Sampoli, M.L., Peternell, M., and Jüttler, B., Rational surfaces with linear normals and their convolutions with rational surfaces, *Comput. Aided Geom. Design* **23** (2006), 179–192.

[19] Schickentanz, R., Interpolation und Approximation durch B–Spline–Flächen mit rationalen Offsets, Ph.D. thesis, Technische Universität Darmstadt, 1999.