

12.2 Week 2

12.2.1 Selected results

2.10: (i) yes; (ii) yes; (iii) no.

3.14: (iv) T is an isometry, S is not; (v) T is injective, S is not; (vi) T is not surjective, but S is surjective; $TS\mathbf{x} = (0, x_2, x_3, \dots)$

12.2.2 Selected solutions

Exercise 2.14:(i) Since

$$\|T\mathbf{w} - T\mathbf{w}_k\| = \|T(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_k)\| \leq \|T\| \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_k\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

we see that

$$T\mathbf{w}_k \rightarrow T\mathbf{w} \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

(ii) Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| T \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \mathbf{v}_k - \sum_{k=1}^N c_k T\mathbf{v}_k \right\| &= \left\| T \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \mathbf{v}_k - \sum_{k=1}^N c_k \mathbf{v}_k \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \|T\| \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \mathbf{v}_k - \sum_{k=1}^N c_k \mathbf{v}_k \right\| \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$\sum_{k=1}^N c_k T\mathbf{v}_k \rightarrow T \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \mathbf{v}_k \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

By definition, this means that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k T\mathbf{v}_k = T \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \mathbf{v}_k.$$

Exercise 3.1: Assume that $\{\mathbf{v}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent, $\mathbf{v}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{v}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We shall show that there for each $\epsilon > 0$ exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{v}_k - \mathbf{v}_\ell\| \leq \epsilon \text{ for } k, \ell \geq N.$$

Now, according to the triangle inequality,

$$\|\mathbf{v}_k - \mathbf{v}_\ell\| = \|\mathbf{v}_k - \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_\ell\| \leq \|\mathbf{v}_k - \mathbf{v}\| + \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_\ell\|. \quad (12.3)$$

Given $\epsilon > 0$, choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_k\| \leq \epsilon/2 \text{ for } k \geq N;$$

then, for $k, \ell \geq N$, the inequality (12.3) shows that

$$\|\mathbf{v}_k - \mathbf{v}_\ell\| \leq \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon,$$

as desired.

Exercise 3.14: (i) Consider S and T as matrices. If $ST = I$, then

$$\det(S) \det(T) = \det(ST) = 1;$$

this implies that $\det(S) \neq 0$, and therefore S is invertible. Multiplying $ST = I$ with S^{-1} from the left now implies that $S^{-1}ST = S^{-1}$, i.e., that $T = S^{-1}$; multiplying this equation with S from the right implies that $TS = I$.

The same argument shows that if $TS = I$, then it also holds that $ST = I$.

(ii) The operators T and S are linear (check that!). Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\mathbf{x}\| &= \|(0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)\| \\ &= 0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k| \\ &= \|\mathbf{x}\|. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that T is bounded and that $\|T\| = 1$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S\mathbf{x}\| &= \|(x_2, x_3, \dots)\| \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |x_k| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k| \\ &= \|\mathbf{x}\|. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that S is bounded.

(iii) We only need the argument for S . By the calculation in (ii) we know that $\|S\| \leq 1$. Taking $\mathbf{x} = (0, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$, we have

$$\|S\mathbf{x}\| = \|(1, 0, 0, \dots)\| = 1 = \|\mathbf{x}\|.$$

This proves that actually $\|S\| = 1$.

(iv) The calculation in (ii) shows that T is an isometry. Letting $\mathbf{x} := (1, 0, 0, \dots)$, we see that

$$\|\mathbf{x}\| = 1, \quad \|S\mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{0}\| = 0.$$

Thus S is not an isometry.

(v) T is injective because

$$T\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow (0, x_1, x_2, \dots) = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow x_k = 0, \forall k.$$

S is not injective: we have seen that

$$S(1, 0, 0, \dots) = \mathbf{0}.$$

(vi) T is not surjective - for example the vector $(1, 0, 0, \dots)$ does not belong to the range of T . The operator S is surjective: given any $\{y_k\} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$, we have

$$\{y_k\} = S(0, y_1, y_2, \dots).$$

(vii) For any $\mathbf{x} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$,

$$ST\mathbf{x} = S(0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = \mathbf{x},$$

but

$$TS\mathbf{x} = T(x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_2, x_3, \dots).$$

That is, if $x_1 \neq 0$, then

$$TS\mathbf{x} \neq ST\mathbf{x}.$$

This proves that $TS \neq ST$.

Exercise 2.13: (i) We must show that if $\mathbf{v} \in \overline{W}$, then $T\mathbf{v} \in \overline{T(W)}$. Now, for $\mathbf{v} \in \overline{W}$, we can by definition find a sequence of elements $\{\mathbf{v}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in W such that

$$\mathbf{v}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{v} \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since

$$\|T\mathbf{v} - T\mathbf{v}_k\| = \|T(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_k)\| \leq \|T\| \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_k\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

this implies that

$$T\mathbf{v}_k \rightarrow T\mathbf{v} \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $T\mathbf{v}_k \in T(W)$, this means by definition that $T\mathbf{v} \in \overline{T(W)}$.

(ii) If we apply the result in (i) with T replaced by the operator T^{-1} and the set W replaced by TW , we arrive at

$$T^{-1}(\overline{TW}) \subseteq \overline{T^{-1}TW} = \overline{W}.$$

Applying the operator T on this yields that $\overline{TW} \subseteq T(\overline{W})$. Combined with the result in (i) this concludes the proof.

12.2.3 Examples from the lecture

Example 12.2.1 Consider the mapping

$$T : C(0, 2) \rightarrow C(0, 2), \quad (Tf)(x) := x^2 f(x), \quad x \in [0, 2].$$

We want to show the following:

- (i) That T actually maps $C(0, 2)$ into $C(0, 2)$, i.e., that $Tf \in C(0, 2)$ if $f \in C(0, 2)$;
- (ii) That T is linear;
- (iii) That T is bounded.

To prove (i), note that if f is a continuous function on $[0, 2]$, then also $x^2f(x)$ is a continuous function on $[0, 2]$; thus, $Tf \in C(0, 2)$. To prove that T is linear, let $f, g \in C(0, 2)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, for any $x \in [0, 2]$,

$$\begin{aligned} (T(\alpha f + \beta g))(x) &= x^2(\alpha f(x) + \beta g(x)) &= x^2\alpha f(x) + x^2\beta g(x) \\ &= \alpha(Tf)(x) + \beta(Tg)(x). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that

$$T(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha Tf + \beta Tg.$$

For (iii), let again $f \in C(0, 2)$, and let $x \in [0, 2]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tf)(x)| &= |x^2f(x)| \leq \max_{x \in [0, 2]} x^2 \max_{x \in [0, 2]} |f(x)| \\ &= 4 \|f\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all $x \in [0, 2]$, we conclude that

$$\|Tf\|_\infty = \max_{x \in [0, 2]} |(Tf)(x)| \leq 4 \|f\|_\infty.$$

This shows that T is bounded, and that $\|T\| \leq 4$. □

Example 12.2.2 Consider the mapping

$$T : \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^p(\mathbb{N}), \quad T\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty := \{x_k + x_{k+1}\}_{k=1}^\infty.$$

We want to show the following:

- (i) That T actually maps $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ into $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, i.e., that $T\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ if $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$;
- (ii) That T is linear;
- (iii) That T is bounded.

In order to prove (i), we use Minkovski's inequality, Theorem 1.7.3:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty |x_k + x_{k+1}|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty |x_{k+1}|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
&= \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{k=2}^\infty |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq 2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (i). (ii) follows by direct verification. In order to prove (iii), we continue the calculation in (i):

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} &\leq 2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
&= 2 \|\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that T is bounded, with $\|T\| \leq 2$. □